



Outlook

[NACDC 2026] Your paper #1571304230 ('HZPPI: A Multi-Feature Machine Learning Framework for Predicting Human-Zika Virus Protein-Protein Interactions')

From EDAS Conference Manager <help@edas.info>

on behalf of

NACDC 2026 (nacd@iem.edu.in) <nacd@iem.edu.in@edas.info>

Date Tue 6/16/2026 12:26 PM

To Soumyendu Sekhar Bandyopadhyay <SoumyenduSekhar.Bandyopadhyay@iem.edu.in>; Swapnil Roy Gupta <swapnilroygupta@gmail.com>; Arunabha Dey <arunabhadey5@gmail.com>; Moutushi Biswas Singh <moutushi.singh@iem.edu.in>; Kaustav Sengupta <kaustav.sengupta@pw.edu.pl>

Dear Dr. Soumyendu Sekhar Bandyopadhyay:

Congratulations - your paper #1571304230 ('HZPPI: A Multi-Feature Machine Learning Framework for Predicting Human-Zika Virus Protein-Protein Interactions') for NACDC 2026 has been **accepted**. Please register by **18.06.2026**

Registration Link: <https://edas.info/registerPerson.php?c=34454>

The reviews are below or can be found at [1571304230](#).

Meta Review 1

Originality / Novelty: How new and innovative is the research idea?

Slight (2)

Technical Quality / Methodology: How strong and correct is the research method, analysis, and experimentation?

Slight (2)

Significance / Impact: How important is the contribution to the research field?

Slight (2)

Clarity and Organization: How clearly is the paper written and structured?

Slight (2)

Relevance to Conference: How relevant is the paper to the conference theme?

Slight (2)

Overall Recommendation: Overall evaluation of the paper.

Slight (2)

Meta Review 2

Originality / Novelty: How new and innovative is the research idea?

Excellent (5)

Technical Quality / Methodology: How strong and correct is the research method, analysis, and experimentation?

Good (4)

Significance / Impact: How important is the contribution to the research field?

Good (4)

Clarity and Organization: How clearly is the paper written and structured?

Acceptable (3)

Relevance to Conference: How relevant is the paper to the conference theme?

Acceptable (3)

Overall Recommendation: Overall evaluation of the paper.

Good (4)

Meta Review 3

Originality / Novelty: How new and innovative is the research idea?

Good (4)

Technical Quality / Methodology: How strong and correct is the research method, analysis, and experimentation?

Excellent (5)

Significance / Impact: How important is the contribution to the research field?

Excellent (5)

Clarity and Organization: How clearly is the paper written and structured?

Good (4)

Relevance to Conference: How relevant is the paper to the conference theme?

Good (4)

Overall Recommendation: Overall evaluation of the paper.

Good (4)

Meta Review 4

Originality / Novelty: How new and innovative is the research idea?

Good (4)

Technical Quality / Methodology: How strong and correct is the research method, analysis, and experimentation?

Good (4)

Significance / Impact: How important is the contribution to the research field?

Good (4)

Clarity and Organization: How clearly is the paper written and structured?

Good (4)

Relevance to Conference: How relevant is the paper to the conference theme?

Good (4)

Overall Recommendation: Overall evaluation of the paper.

Good (4)

Regards,
Warm Regards,
Convenor,
NACDC 2026