2025 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Computing, Astronomy and Renewable Energy (AICARE) | 979-8-3315-5309-8/25/$31.00 ©2025 IEEE | DOI: 10.1109/AICARE66005.2025.11402670

IEM INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & MANAGEMENT Good Education, Good Jobs

IEEE KOLKATA SECTION

IEEE PES Power & Energy Society

UEM UNIVERSITY OF ENGINEERING & MANAGEMENT Good Education, Good Jobs

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR COMPUTING, ASTRONOMY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

Organized by the Center of Excellence in Renewable Energy and Center of Excellence in Astronomical Studies

AICARE 2025

TECHNICALLY CO-SPONSORED BY IEEE KOLKATA SECTION AND IEEE POWER AND ENERGY SOCIETY

DATE

21ST & 22ND NOVEMBER 2025

VENUE

IEM KOLKATA (Newtown Sector),UEM KOLKATA

2025 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Computing, Astronomy and Renewable Energy (AICARE) | 979-8-3315-5309-8/25/$31.00 ©2025 IEEE | DOI: 10.1109/AICARE66005.2025.11402803

Proceedings of

2025 IEEE Artificial Intelligence for Computing, Astronomy, and Renewable Energy (AICARE)

21st – 22nd November, 2025

Center of Excellence on Renewable Energy & Centre of Excellence in Astronomical Studies, University of Engineering and Management, Kolkata, India

Part Number: CFP250K6-ART

ISBN: 979-8-3315-5309-8

AICARE 2025 ACCEPTED AND PRESENTED PAPERS

2025 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Computing, Astronomy and Renewable Energy (AICARE) | 979-8-3315-5309-8/25/$31.00 ©2025 IEEE | DOI: 10.1109/AICARE66005.2025.11402657

Paper ID	Paper Title	Author(s)	Affiliation(s)	Page No.
		Dutta; Subhabrata Banerjee		
128	AI-Driven Decentralized Storage Framework over Bluetooth Mesh	Diksha Samrat Wagh; Sarvesh Bhushan Upasani	K.K. Wagh Institute, Nashik	100-103
129	AI-Powered Interfaces to Healthcare: A Patient-Centric Study of OPD Scheduling	Dipanwita Chakrabarty; Satakshi Chatterjee; Arunangshu Giri; Dibyendu Rath; Shamba Chatterjee	Haldia Institute; Amity University	104-107
130	Assessing the Feasibility of Renewable EnergybBreak-Even in India Using ARIMA and Polynomial Regression Models	Piya Roy; Dipanwita Chakrabarty; Debashis Chatterjee	Haldia Institute; Jadavpur University	108-112
132	Optimized CNN-U-Net Framework for MRI-Based Detection of Chronic Kidney Disease	Vishalakshi M; Pramod Kumar P	SR University	113-116
133	Android Malware Detection using Machine Learning	Chandradeep Dutta; Santanu Modak	School of Computer Applications, JECRC University, Jaipur, India; Dept. of Information Technology, Bengal College of Engineering and Technology, Durgapur, West Bengal, India	117-121
137	XAI Machine Learning Framework for Noninvasive Cardiac Output Estimation using Impedance Cardiography	Dinesh Bhatotia; Arun Sharma; Abhilash G. Dutt; Shambhavi Sharma; Sudipta Ghosh	MayaCardio, New Delhi; UEM Kolkata.	122-127
139	Aromaticity and Instability Index-based Prediction of Parkinson's Disease Progression Using Longitudinal Peptide Data	Veningston K; Selvan C; Peddireddy Veera Venkateswara Rao; Ronalda M; Kavali Charan Sreeram Chandra Sekhar, Madala Abhilash Chowdary	NIT Srinagar, Jammu & Kashmir; REVA University, Bengaluru; KLEF Guntur	128-133
149	A Machine Learning Approach for Intelligent Road Traffic Systems	Vishakha Jadhav; Om Satwadhar; Prajakta Amol More; Madhura Kulkarni; Rutuja Abhishek Mohite; Ramnika Jha	Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Technology, Pune; Zeal College of Engineering Research, Pune; G.H. Raisoni IST University, Pune; Savitribai Phule Pune University	134-138
152	AI-Driven Photoluminescence Modeling and Experimental Study of Luminescent Silicon Quantum Dot Ink for Quantum Communication Applications	Siddhartha Roy; Susmita Biswas; Nitu Saha; Ahona Ghosh; Rangon Sarkar; Raisa Santra	Dept. of CSE (IoT, CS, BT), University of Engineering & Management, Kolkata	139-143
156	Time Series with Spatial Gradient Visuals of UHI Expansion in Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar Using Machine Learning	Tejashri Laxmikant Chate; Sandeep P. Abhang; Sanjay D. Sutar	DBATU Lonere; CSMSS College of Engineering, Maharashtra	144-149
157	VOX: Real-Time AI Translator	Adrija R. Pramod; Al Amthan; Liya M; Shreya John Bosco; Jayakrishnan A	Jyothi Engineering College	150-154
160	Design and Optimization of a Solar-Hydrogen-Battery Hybrid Microgrid for Educational Institutions: A Case Study in Naogaon, Bangladesh	T.H.M. Rimon Rashid; Md. Esmay Azam; Md. Feroz Ali; K.M.A. Salam; Md. Abdur Razzak	PUST; NSU; IUB Bangladesh	155-160
166	Low-Latency Burst-Mode FIFO for AI Accelerators	Anushka Ganguly; Arindam Chakraborty	Dept. of ECE, IEM / UEM Kolkata	161-165
173	Optimal Frequency Regulation of a single area power system integrated with aggregated inverter air conditioner systems using Coronavirus Optimization Algorithm	V.S.K.V. Harish; Avijit Routh	NSUT Delhi; Haldia Institute	166-171
176	Development of E-commerce Web Application for Artisans of Tripura	Susmita Dutta; Swanirbhar Majumder	Tripura University	172-175

2025 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Computing, Astronomy and Renewable Energy (AICARE) | 979-8-3315-5309-8/25/$31.00 ©2025 IEEE | DOI: 10.1109/AICARE66005.2025.11402816

Prospects and Challenges in UAV-Based Communication for Disaster Management

Chandramouli Haldar
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Guru Nanak Institute of Technology
Kolkata, India
chandramoulihaldar@gmail.com

Soumik Podder
Department of Basic Science and Humanities
Institute of Engineering and Management (IEM),
University of
Engineering and Management Kolkata, India
0000-0002-8599-4635

***Abstract*—Natural disasters like earthquakes, floods and wild fires are responsible for enormous human and economic causalities. Traditional methods of assessing such as ground reconnaissance, manned aerial observation and satellite imagery are usually time-consuming, unsafe and unsuitable in dynamic disaster situations. UAVs have demonstrated their effectiveness in disaster management, such as for rapid and agile high-resolution data acquisition to support situational awareness, damage inspection and the relief supply chain. This paper provides a detailed study of conventional disaster management system and various technologies of UAV communication architectures for disaster management. It also discusses severe issues like restricted range, infrastructure dependency, network overload, and signal degradation due to interference in the environment. To address these limitations, we suggest a hybrid UAV communication architecture integrating satellite, LoRa, and FANET-based mesh networking. The design enables UAVs to flexibly transition between communication modes according to mission requirements, Active level of resources (AoR), geography, and available battery stocks. The architecture is complemented by optimized routing approaches that are individually designed for different communication types, contributing to low-latency, fault-tolerant and energy-efficient data transmission. This method dramatically reduces scale resistance, flexibility and the agileness of real-time data-intensive using. By bridging current communication gaps and facilitating real-time information sharing, the proposed system provides a sustainable and resilient solution to improving UAV-based disaster response operations. The research opens doors for future potential research work in field deployment, edge computing, and integrating artificial intelligence-assisted decision-making, and autonomous multi-agent-based disaster management systems.**

Keywords*—*UAV, Disaster Management, LoRa, Satellite-Communication, FANET integration, Emergency Response

I. Introduction

Naturally occurring disasters, such as floods, wildfires and earthquakes have wide-ranging effects on populations and economics all over the world by damaging infrastructure, means of subsistence and essentials. Time- and Location-Bound Information Delivery of damage Intensity and Resource Mobilization is a life Saviour for the prompt Rescue and Relief Operations in such time-stricken scenarios [1]. Traditional methods for responding to natural disasters—bottom-up surveys, satellite-based observations, and manned aerial platforms/Perspective Aerial Photography & Videography (PAPV) together with Geographic Information System (GIS)—despite their utility, are especially limited. Field-based staffs are handicapped by devastated landscapes, satellites suffer from low resolution and weather-dependence, manned aerial missions are expensive, time consuming and suspended above high-risk areas to the detriment of making critical decisions [1]. Due to these challenges, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) have been very useful for modern disaster management, especially due to their rapid deployment capability and cost enforcement. UAVs fuelled with sensors like LiDAR, thermal cameras and HD camera scan in real-time to provide mapping, damage information and access for relief efforts with less risk to human first responders. Despite their utility being limited by short flight endurance, carriage limitation, rule challenges, and most significantly, the loss of communication in disaster areas where stable communications are a necessity [2], their role in disaster response has become invaluable. This study assesses the limitation of traditional protocols and discusses UAV-based solutions for tackling communication issues triggered by infrastructure collapse, congestion, and signal jamming. It discusses strategies like multi-hop mesh network, edge-AI processing, frequency-adaptive control, and delay-tolerant communication for the purpose of credible data exchange in disaster conditions.

II. Traditional Method

Before the implications of innovative disciplines such as UAVs and AI-based systems, disaster management was based on traditional methods of manual ground surveys, satellite imaging (from the 1960s onward), manned aerial surveys (from the 1970s onward), and GIS planning (from the 1980s onward). Both these methods formed the crux of emergency response but were very lacking in terms of rapidness, security, and precision when dealing with large-disaster situations. The ground surveys offered rapid and accurate data but were labour-intensive, time-consuming, and dangerous to rescue teams. Satellite images allowed broad area evaluation but were limited by poor resolution, cloud cover, and the time delay involved in data receipt. Aerial surveys by manned helicopters or planes provided fast visualization but were expensive, weather-conditioned, and sometimes unavailable for immediate operation. GIS technology later enhanced planning and data visualization but was also very costly, labour-intensive for skilled staff, and associated with complicated data structures that were incompatible for real-time operation [3]. By the early 2000s, these same limitations also dictated quicker, automated, and saleable solutions that culminated in the development of UAV-based systems using real-time sensing, autonomous navigation, and edge processing that enhanced the level of situational awareness and disaster response efficiency considerably [2].

confidentiality, and trust in UAV-to-UAV and UAV-to-ground communication. Merging of these security and regulative features reinforces the reliability of systems and makes the suggested framework concurrent with international standards for ethical and lawful UAV flight in the context of disaster scenarios [20].

TABLE II. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF UAV MEDIATED DISASTER MANAGEMENT'S PARAMETERS

Feature	Existing Literature	Proposed Method
Objective	Propose hybrid or focused communication systems for UAV swarms, environmental monitoring, or review-based analysis. [15], [17], [18]	Propose a flexible hybrid communication system specifically for disaster management.
Communication Modules	LoRa + Wi-Fi OR, LoRaWAN + P2P OR, LoRa-only [15], [17], [18]	Satellite + LoRa + FANET integrated in a modular, adaptive architecture.
Adaptivity	Opportunistic or fixed switching (based on signal or network type); no full context-aware logic. [15], [18]	Smart adaptive switching based on mission needs, signal, energy, and network status.
Routing Strategy	Discussed as a gap or proposed in concept; limited protocol optimization per network type. [16], [18]	Optimized per module: e.g., delay-tolerant (satellite), energy-aware (LoRa), etc.
Development Stage	Some simulation and conceptual frameworks proposed; partial implementation. [16], [17]	Proposed method only; no model or implementation yet.

VIII. CONCLUSION

UAVs have revolutionized disaster response through rapid deployment, mobility, and real-time information but their operations are still limited by the communication issues in broken environments. There is simply no communication technology that will guarantee seamless communication under such dynamics and infrastructure-scarce environments.

To counter these challenges, this work proposed a Hybrid UAV Communication Architecture that blends Satellite, LoRa, and FANET technologies under a switching adaptive architecture. It switches the optimum link in real time based on signal strength, mission priority, and energy efficiency to ensure end-to-end reliable, scalable, and low-latency communication for the disaster scenario. The future work will take into account simulation in Python programming language and field verification, AI-aided link adaptation, and interoperability for autonomous ground and flying vehicles so that a fully intelligent and collaborative disaster response network will be developed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are heartily grateful to Dr. Swarup Kumar Mitra for his invaluable guidance to initiate this research work.

REFERENCES

[1] O. M. Bushnaq, D. Mishra, E. Natalizio, and I. F. Akyildiz, "Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for disaster management," Nanotechnology-Based Smart Remote Sensing Networks for Disaster Prevention. Elsevier, pp. 159–188, 2022.

[2] K. Telli et al., "A Comprehensive Review of Recent Research Trends on Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)," Systems, vol. 11, no. 8, p. 400, Aug. 2023

[3] W. Alawad, N. B. Halima, and L. Aziz, "An Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) System for Disaster and Crisis Management in Smart Cities," Electronics, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 1051, Feb. 2023

[4] W. Ejaz, M. A. Azam, S. Saadat, F. Iqbal, and A. Hanan, "Unmanned Aerial Vehicles enabled IoT Platform for Disaster Management," Energies, vol. 12, no. 14, p. 2706, July 2019

[5] A. Girma *et al.*, "IoT-enabled autonomous system collaboration for disaster-area management," in *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1249-1262, September 2020

[6] S. Hafeez, R. Cheng, L. Mohjazi, Y. Sun, and M. A. Imran, "Blockchain-enhanced UAV networks for post-disaster communication: A decentralized flocking approach," *arXiv [cs.CR]*, 2024.

[7] H. Ullah, N. Gopalakrishnan Nair, A. Moore, C. Nugent, P. Muschamp, and M. Cuevas, "5G Communication: An Overview of Vehicle-to-Everything, Drones, and Healthcare Use-Cases," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 37251–37268, 2019

[8] A. Rejeb, K. Rejeb, S. Simske, and H. Treiblmaier, "Humanitarian Drones: A Review and Research Agenda," Internet of Things, vol. 16, p. 100434, Dec. 2021

[9] Study Paper On Use Cases of Drones in Disaster Management, Telecommunication engineering centre department of telecommunications ministry of communications government of India, 2024

[10] A. Shamsoshoara, F. Afghah, E. Blasch, J. Ashdown, and M. Bennis, "UAV-Assisted Communication in Remote Disaster Areas Using Imitation Learning," IEEE Open J. Commun. Soc., vol. 2, pp. 738–753, 2021

[11] A. Sharma et al., "Communication and networking technologies for UAVs: A survey," Journal of Network and Computer Applications, vol. 168, p. 102739, Oct. 2020

[12] E. Yucesoy, B. Balcik, and E. Coban, "The role of drones in disaster response: A literature review of operations research applications," Int. Trans. Oper. Res., 2024.

[13] I. Jawhar, N. Mohamed, J. Al-Jaroodi, D. P. Agrawal, and S. Zhang, "Communication and networking of UAV-based systems: Classification and associated architectures," *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 84, pp. 93–108, 2017.

[14] O. A. Saraereh, A. Alsaraira, I. Khan, and P. Uthansakul, "Performance Evaluation of UAV-Enabled LoRa Networks for Disaster Management Applications," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 8, p. 2396, Apr. 2020

[15] L. Davoli, E. Pagliari, and G. Ferrari, "Hybrid LoRa-IEEE 802.11s Opportunistic Mesh Networking for Flexible UAV Swarming," Drones, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 26, Apr. 2021

[16] M. Khan, I. Qureshi, and F. Khanzada, "A Hybrid Communication Scheme for Efficient and Low-Cost Deployment of Future Flying Ad-Hoc Network (FANET)," Drones, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 16, Feb. 2019

[17] E. Barka, C. A. Kerrache, R. Hussain, N. Lagraa, A. Lakas, and S. H. Bouk, "A Trusted Lightweight Communication Strategy for Flying Named Data Networking," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 8, p. 2683, Aug. 2018

[18] M. Behjati, H. A. H. Alobaidy, R. Nordin, and N. F. Abdullah, "UAV-assisted federated learning with hybrid LoRa P2P/LoRaWAN for sustainable biosphere," Front. Commun. Netw., vol. 6, Jan. 2025

[19] W. D. Paredes, H. Kaushal, I. Vakilinia, and Z. Prodanoff, "LoRa Technology in Flying Ad Hoc Networks: A Survey of Challenges and Open Issues," Sensors, vol. 23, no. 5, p. 2403, Feb. 2023

[20] M. J. Almansor *et al.*, "Routing protocols strategies for flying Ad-Hoc network (FANET): Review, taxonomy, and open research issues," *Alex. Eng. J.*, vol. 109, pp. 553–577, 2024.

[21] G. Geraci et al., "What Will the Future of UAV Cellular Communications Be? A Flight From 5G to 6G," in IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1304-1335, thirdquarter 2022

[22] W. A. Nelson, S. R. Yeduri, A. Jha, A. Kumar and L. R. Cenkeramaddi, "RL-Based Energy-Efficient Data Transmission Over Hybrid BLE/LTE/Wi-Fi/LoRa UAV-Assisted Wireless Network," in IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 1951-1966, June 2024

[23] S. Tanwar, N. Kumar, and J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, "Secure Communication in Drone Networks: A Comprehensive Survey of Lightweight Encryption and Key Management Techniques," Drones, vol. 9, no. 8, p. 583, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2504-446X/9/8/583