



69th DAE SYMPOSIUM ON NUCLEAR PHYSICS

DR. B R AMBEDKAR NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JALANDHAR, PUNJAB



VOLUME 69 (2025)

DECEMBER 8 - 12, 2025

EDITORS

H. KUMAWAT, VINEET KUMAR, P. SHUKLA & ARADHANA SHRIVASTAVA



Sponsored by

Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences,
Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India



Published by:

Scientific Information Resource Division,
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre,
Trombay, Mumbai,
Maharashtra - 400085, India.
Email: headsird@barc.gov.in

Printed by:

Brandskool,
E.D. 119, Dhan Mohalla,
Jalandhar City - 144001 (Punjab) INDIA
Mob : +91-8837600299

69th DAE SYMPOSIUM ON NUCLEAR PHYSICS



**Dr. B. R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology
Jalandhar, Punjab - 144008**

Volume 69 (2025)

Full proceedings available at: <http://sympnp.org/proceedings/69>

December 08 - 12, 2025

Editors

**Harphool Kumawat, Vineet Kumar, Prashant Shukla,
Aradhana Shrivastava**

Sponsored by

**Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences,
Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India**

National Advisory Committee

R. S. Bhalerao	IISER-PUNE	A. K. Mohanty	DAE
G. Bhattacharyya	SINP	B. K. Nayak	HBNI
S. Bhattacharya	VECC	A. C. Pandey	IUAC
Jayaram N. Chengalur	TIFR	R. G. Pillay	TIFR
A. Chatterjee	BARC	V. S. Ramamurthy	NIAS
R. K. Choudhury	BARC	A. Roy	IUAC
V. M. Datar	IMSc	T. Sakuntala	BARC
A. K. Jain	Amity Univ.	A. Saxena	BARC
B. K. Kanaujia	NITJ	S. Som	VECC
S. Kailas	CEBS	A. K. Sinha	UGC-DAE-CSR
D. Kanjilal	IUAC	D. K. Srivastava	NIAS
S. S. Kapoor	BARC	P. C. Vinod Kumar	SPU, Anand

National Organizing Committee

M. S. Athar	AMU	I. Mazumdar	TIFR
B. R. Behera	PU	H. M. Mittal	NITJ
A. Bharti	UoJ	B. Mohanty	NISER
A. Bhattacharyya	CU	A. Mukherjee	SINP
S. Bhattacharyya	VECC	M. M. Musthafa	Calicut Univ.
R. Chatterjee	IIT-Roorkee	V. Nanal	TIFR
H. Dahiya	NITJ	B. K. Nandi	IITB
J. J. Das	Cotton Univ.	R. Palit	TIFR
P. Das	VECC	A. K. Rai	SVNIT Surat
U. Datta	SINP	R. Sahoo	IIT-Indore
D. Dutta	BARC	S. Santra	BARC
S. S. Ghugre	UGC-DAE CSR	S. Sarkar	VECC
J. A. Gore	BARC	M. K. Sharma	TU Patiala
A. K. Gupta	BARC	A. Shrivastava	BARC
V. Jha	BARC	P. Shukla	BARC
A. Jhingan	IUAC	B. P. Singh	AMU
K. Kalita	GU	R. P. Singh	IUAC
Vineet Kumar	BARC	V. Singh	CUSB
H. Kumawat	BARC	S. K. Tandel	SNIOE
B. Lalremruata	Mizoram Univ.	R. G. Thomas	BARC
K. Mahata	BARC	A. K. Tyagi	BHU
S. K. Mandal	DU		

- A81. Transition rates in ^{49}Ti
Atreyee Haldar, A Bera, Y Sapkota, Rozina Rahaman, Abhijit Bisoi, Anik Adhikari, Arkabrata Gupta, H Ghosh, D Pramanik, S Das, S Ray, S Sharma, A Das, S Dar, S Bhattacharya, T Bhattacharjee, G Mukherjee, S Bhattacharyya, S Samanta, S Nandi, S Das, S Chatterjee, R Raut, S S Ghugre
- A82. A Comparative Study of GDR in ^{237}Np : Microscopic vs. Macroscopic Approaches
Abhishek , Nabeel Salim, A. K. Rhine Kumar, P. Arumugam
- A83. Bayesian Parameter Estimation for the Extended Skyrme Energy Density Functional
Rathore Abhay Singh, Ramanan Sunethra
- A84. Evidence of Transverse Wobbling in ^{142}Gd
Saikat Sen, S. Rajbanshi, G. Mukherjee, H. Pai, G. Manna, Somnath Nag, R. Palit, Habibur Rahaman, R. Biswas, Sajad Ali, F. S. Babra, R. Banik, Soumik Bhattacharya, S. Chakraborty, Md. S. R. Laskar, S. Nandi
- A85. Effect of Reduced frictional coefficient on σ_{ER}
Gangadhar R N, Sowmya N, Manjunatha H.C, Damodara Gupta P.S
- A86. Effective proton and neutron charges around ^{132}Sn : a comparative study
D. Kumar, S. Basak, A. Pal, S. S. Alam, T. Bhattacharjee
- A87. Isotopic effect on the strength distribution of the hot Giant dipole resonance
Debasish Mondal, S. Mukhopadhyay, Deepak Pandit, S. Roy, S. Sadhukhan, S. Pal, S. Adhikari, P. Roy, R. Shil, K. Banerjee, B. Dey, S. Bhattacharya, A. De, Soumik Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, P. Pant, T.K. Rana, S. Kundu, S.R. Singh, S. Lakshan
- A88. Global Optimization of Bethe–Weizsäcker Coefficients of Semi Empirical Mass Formula
Davinderpreet Kaur, Khushboo, Pinky, Sushil Kumar, Sukhjeet Singh
- A89. Possible multiple antimagnetic rotational bands in ^{102}Ru
Pooja Chauhan, S. Sihotra, Dalip Singh Verma, Renu Joshi, R. Palit, R. P. Singh, D. Mehta
- A90. Signatures of Shell Closure in Fm from Nuclear Observables
C. Dash, A. Anupam, N. Kar, Z. Naik, I. Naik, B. B Sahu
- A91. Symmetry-governed approach to complex spectra of light nuclei
Chandra Sekhar Panda, Gábor Riczu, Judit Darai, József Cseh
- A92. Structure and β -decay of Odd-A Nb Isotopes within the Relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov Formalism
N Biswal, Padmalaya Dash, P Yadav, R N Panda, S Mishra, M Bhuyan

Transition rates in ^{49}Ti

Atreyee Haldar¹, A. Bera¹, Y. Sapkota², Rozina Rahaman¹, Abhijit Bisoi^{1*}, Anik Adhikari¹, Arkabrata Gupta³, H. Ghosh¹, Dibyadyuti Pramanik⁴, **Sangeeta Das**³, S. Ray⁵, Sathi Sharma⁶, Ananya Das^{7,8}, Shabir Dar^{7,8}, S. Bhattacharya^{7,8}, T. Bhattacharjee^{7,8}, G. Mukherjee^{7,8}, S. Bhattacharyya^{7,8}, S. Samanta⁹, S. Nandi¹⁰, S. Das¹⁰, S. Chatterjee¹⁰, R. Raut¹⁰, and S. S. Ghugre¹⁰

¹Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah 711103, India

²Department of Physics, Dudhnoi College, Dudhnoi, Goalpara, Assam 783124, India

³Institute of Engineering and Management, UEM, Kolkata 700160, India

⁴Haldia Institute of Technology, Haldia, 721657, India

⁵Amity Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology, Amity University, Noida 201313, India

⁶Department of Physics, Manipal University Jaipur, Jaipur - 303007, Rajasthan, India

⁷Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064, India

⁸Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai 400094, India

⁹Adamas University, Kolkata 700 126, India

¹⁰UGC-DAE Consortium for Scientific Research, Kolkata Centre, Kolkata 700098, India

*Email: abhijitbisoi@physics.iests.ac.in

Introduction


The pf -shell serves as an excellent platform for investigating the interplay between single particle and collective excitation. The collectivity in the ground state of the $1f_{7/2}$ shell increases towards the middle of the shell and starts to disappear rapidly when approaching the doubly magic nucleus ^{56}Ni as the nuclei evolve towards a spherical shape [1,2]. The nuclei around ^{48}Cr highlight collective phenomena which are explained well by large-basis shell-model calculations [3].

The spectroscopic information of ^{49}Ti , one of the neighbouring nuclei of ^{48}Cr , was very limited. Only a few experiments were performed to investigate the high spin structure of ^{49}Ti . The level scheme of ^{49}Ti was extended only up to 7 MeV [4,5]. The lifetime information was available only for a few levels. We have started our investigation on ^{49}Ti and extended the level scheme above the band terminating $19/2^-$ state (Fig. 1) and confirmed / assigned the spin and parity of most of the levels [6]. In the present work, we have reported the lifetime information of few levels in ^{49}Ti and compared them with the results obtained from the shell model calculations.

Experimental Details

The high-spin states of ^{49}Ti were populated through $^{48}\text{Ti}(^4\text{He}, 2p\text{n})^{49}\text{Ti}$ fusion evaporation

reaction with 48 MeV alpha beam at Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre (VECC), Kolkata. The experiment was carried out on a self-supported $12.4\text{mg}/\text{cm}^2$ thick natural Ti target and the γ -rays were detected using Indian National Gamma Array (INGA). The details of the experimental set up and data analysis can be found in Ref. [7].



Results and Discussion

Doppler shifted peaks for a few transitions viz. 785, 812, 904, 1092, 1178 keV etc. have been observed in the present data. We have therefore performed lineshape analysis using the lineshape code [8] based on Doppler Shift Attenuation Method (DSAM) to extract the lifetimes of the corresponding levels. In the present analysis, the lineshape spectra were obtained from the 125° , 90° and 40° clovers for strong transitions (Fig. 2(a-c)) and 125° and 90° clovers only for weak transitions (Fig. 2(d-f)). In the present work, the line-shape spectra are generated by putting gates on a transition below the transitions of interest. The effect of side feeding, estimated from the relative intensities of the feeding and decay-out transitions, was therefore properly considered. It was modelled with a cascade or a single-step feeding, depending on the type of transition of interest. During line-shape simulation, the background parameters for each level were kept as free parameters.

We have measured the lifetimes of five levels viz. 4588 keV, 5400 keV, 5945 keV, 6304 keV, and 7629 keV for the first time from the lineshape spectra of the decay out 2082, 812, 2654, 904 and 1684 keV transitions, respectively. The lifetime of 2721, 3291, and 4383 keV levels was previously measured and their reported values are 0.082(39) ps, <0.101 ps and <0.173 ps, respectively [9]. In the present work, we have remeasured them with greater accuracy. Since, we do not have any feeding transitions to the 2721 keV and 4383 keV levels, we only get the upper limit of their lifetimes. Finally, we have extracted the B(M1) and B(E2) strengths of the transitions from their measured lifetimes, branchings and mixing ratios. The measured strengths are then compared with the calculated strengths obtained from shell model calculations performed using the code NUSHELLX [10] and KB3GPN [11] effective interaction. In these calculations, effective charges of $e_p = 1.5e$ and $e_n = 0.5e$ were applied, along with the free values of g-factors. The calculated strengths of these transitions agreed well with their experimental values.




Fig. 2: Experimental (black) and simulated (red) lineshape spectra are shown for the (a) 785, (b) 963, (c) 1178, (d) 2082, (e) 812 and (f) 904 keV transitions.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the accelerator staff of VECC, Kolkata, for providing an uninterrupted beam, and the INGA collaboration, partially supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India.

References

- [1] S. M. Lenzi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **56** 1313 (1997).
- [2] S. M. Lenzi *et al.*, *Z. Phys. A* **354** 117 (1996).
- [3] F. Brandolini *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **642** 387 (1998).
- [4] M. Niikura *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **42** 471 (2009).
- [5] M. Behar *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **366** 61 (1981).
- [6] Sahil Kumar *et al.*, Proceedings of the DAE Symp. on Nucl. Phys. 68 (2024).
- [7] Y. Sapkota *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **105**, 044304 (2022); A. Bera *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **109**, 054328 (2024).
- [8] J. C. Wells and N. R. Johnson, Physics Division Progress Report ORNL-6689, 1991, p. 44; R. K. Bhowmik (private communication).
- [9] <https://www.nndc.bnl.gov>.
- [10] W. D. M. Rae, NUSHELLX, <http://www.garsington.eclipse.co.uk>.
- [11] A. Poves, J. Sánchez-Solano, E. Caurier, F. Nowacki, *Nucl. Phys. A* **694**, 157 (2001).



ISBN 978-81-984360-5-4



9 788198 436054